

FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

Agency: Utah State Office of Education
Ben Leishman
 Requested By

Bill Number SB 111 S3

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst
 W310 State Capitol Complex
 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310
 538-1034 / Fax 538-1692

Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal

Date:	<u>2/14/2007</u>
Name:	<u>Ben Leishman</u>
Fax Number:	

Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: February 14, 2007

TITLE OF BILL: SB 111 S3 "Free Exercise of Religion without Government Interference" by David Clark

This Bill Takes Effect: ☐ On Passage ☐ On July 1 ☒ 60 Days after session ☐ Other _____

Bill Carries Own Appropriation: ☐

FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

A. Revenue Impact by Source of Funds:

First Year

Second Year

	First Year	Second Year
1. General Fund		
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue		
3. Transportation Fund		
4. Collections		
5. Other Funds (List Below)		
6 Local Funds		
7. TOTAL	\$0	\$0

B. Expenditure Impact by Source of Funds:

1. General Funds		
2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue		
3. Transportation Fund		
4. Collections		
5. Other Funds (List Below)		
6 Local Funds		
7. TOTAL	\$0	\$0

C. Expenditure Impact Summary:

1. Salaries, Wages and Benefits		
2. Travel		
3. Current Expenses		
4. Capital Outlay		
5. Other (Specify)		
6. TOTAL	\$0	\$0

D. Impact in Future Years?

If no fiscal impact in first two years, indicate if there will be any impact in future years, and explain. Also, indicate any significant changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years.(Use back side, if necessary.)

There could be increased litigation costs in the future. It is impossible to estimate, but according to our attorney, it could be in the hundreds of thousands of dollars depending on the issues raised.

Emily Eyre	Research Consultant	USOE	538-7671
Prepared By	Title	Agency	USOE Phone No.

02/14/07

Date

E. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase**F. Expenditure Impact Details (Ties to totals in Section C)**

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

G. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

There is no fiscal impact expected in the first year. Litigation costs and workload for attorneys may increase in the future.

H. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.

Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

I. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)

Local School Districts/Charter Schools :

The increased litigation costs could affect school districts and charter schools if they are sued based on this bill. It is difficult to determine at this time how much or to what extent school districts and charter schools may be affected by any potential law suits.

Businesses and Associations :

Individuals :

Narrative Description of Bill :

The bill creates a legal standard for the free exercise of religion.